

Utah Quality Growth Commission Meeting

Wednesday, October 24, 2007, 11:00 AM, Beehive Room, Arsenal Hill Conference Center
East Building on Capitol Hill, Salt Lake City, Utah

Meeting Minutes

Commission Members Present

Justin Allen, Centerville City Council,
Acting Chair
Mike Styler, Executive Director,
Department of Natural Resources
Leonard Blackham, Commissioner,
Department of Agriculture and Food
Laraine Swenson, Logan City Council
member
Sally Elliott, Summit County Commissioner
Ken Mitchell, Utah Homebuilders
Association
Mayor Darrell Smith, Draper City Mayor
Brent Tanner, Utah Cattlemen's Association
Mike Kohler, Wasatch County Council
Larry Ellertson, Utah County Commission

Members Excused

Jaren Davis, Utah Association of Realtors,
Chairman
Flint Richards, Utah Farm Bureau, Vice
Chairman

Staff Present

John Bennett, Executive Direct, Utah
Quality Growth Commission
Laura Ault, GOPB

Visitors Present

Shauna Kerr, Trust for Public Land
Wendy Fisher, Utah Open Lands
Nicol Gagstetter, The Nature Conservancy
David Livermore, The Nature Conservancy
Vaughn Lovejoy, TreeUtah
Bruce Slater, Department of Environmental
Quality
Greg Lee, Executive Director, Red Butte
Garden and Arboretum
Ann Price, Division of Forestry, Fire and
State Lands
Joel Picklener, Division of Wildlife
Resources
Mike Canning, Division of Wildlife
Resources

1—Welcome and introductions

All those attending the meeting introduced themselves.

2—Public Comments

There were no public comments not related to items on the agenda.

3—Approval of Minutes from August 22, 2007 Meetings

Sally Elliott moved to approve the minutes. Mike Kohler seconded the motion. The minutes were approved unanimously.

4—Critical Lands Subcommittee Report:

Review Request for Grant extensions for:

Utah Botanical Center, \$50,000 for an additional one year, October 31, 2008

This project has been ongoing for two years. They have run into a number of roadblocks, but those are now being resolved. Our \$50,000 is match for \$350,000 of UDOT money to increase the size of the berms and to improve the fishery. They are also working with DNR on improving the Fishery. They now have UDOT approval to begin work, but they need until the end of the next construction season to complete the work. They are asking for an extension until October 31, 2008 to complete this work.

Utah Open Lands Richins Ranch, \$400,000, Six Months, April 30, 2008

UOL is asking for an additional six months to raise the matching funds for this project. It was approved last year, and this is the first extension requested for this project.

Trout of Paradise, \$200,000, Six Months, April 30, 2008

This project was also approved last year. This is also the first extension for this project. They need additional time to complete private fundraising on this project.

Red Butte Garden, \$32,000 approximately, Two Months, December 31, 2007

The Garden has been working diligently on this grant. They have completed a draft of the final deliverable and will be applying for the final installment as soon as it is completed. They need two more months to complete that draft. They are asking for an extension until the end of December to complete the project.

Trust for Public Land, Glen Farm, \$325,000, Six Months, April 30, 2008

TPL has requested additional time to complete the deal. They are finishing negotiations with the landowners and need time to complete these negotiations.

Division of Forestry, Fire and State Lands, Chalk Creek C and E Ranch, \$400,000, Six Months, April 30, 2008

The Division needs an additional six months to complete fundraising for this project.

The Nature Conservancy of Utah, remaining \$30,000 of \$300,000 for Virgin River Headwaters, One Year, October 31, 2008

TNC is working to raise the additional funds to complete the funding on this first phase of the project.

Laraine Swenson asked if this TNC funding would go to different parcels. John Bennett explained that they had originally requested funding for four parcels. Some have closed, and \$270,000 of our \$300,000 went to these parcels. They would like to retain the \$30,000 to use on the remaining parcels as they raise the funds to close them.

Mayor Smith asked if there are other projects that need extensions. John Bennett explained that these represent all the outstanding projects.

Mayor Darrell Smith moved to approve the extensions. Commissioner Leonard Blackham seconded the motion. The motion was approved unanimously.

LUNCH: Provided by GOPB.

Review LeRay McAllister Fund allocation recommendation for 2007

ACTION ITEM: Vote to accept, or modify McAllister Fund allocation recommendation

John Bennett led the discussion on the Subcommittee's work. He explained that the main purpose of the meeting today is to spend the McAllister Fund Money. He told the Commissioners that updated summaries were included in the packet. Mr. Bennett further explained that requests for this year total \$5,183,850, and we have \$2,400,000 available to spend. Mr. Bennett referred the Commissioners to a document in the packet that detailed the Subcommittee's recommendation. The document included the rating and ranking for each project along with those that were recommended, and he referred the Commissioners to the attached score sheet to find the criteria for rating the projects. He explained that the score sheet was used to rate the projects according to the criteria. The subcommittee was also asked to rank the fourteen projects 1 to 14 in order of how important it was to fund each project. He then explained that the rating average and ranking average on each project came from these two exercises. A copy of the subcommittee's recommendation with the rating and ranking averages is attached to these minutes.

The next sheet in the packet showed Commissioners the actual ratings for each project along with the average ratings, and the recommendation for which projects should be funded. A copy of this document is attached to these minutes. He also shared the actual rankings for each project and the average ranking for each project with the Commissioners. A copy of this sheet is also attached to these minutes.

Mr. Bennett explained to the Commissioners that the decision about how to spend the money was ultimately theirs. They could adopt the recommendation as submitted, modify it, or scrap it and start over. At this point, the subcommittee has done its job and the Commission must now decide. He offered to walk through the summary of the projects to assist those Commissioners who did not attend the subcommittee meeting. Commissioners asked him to proceed with that summary.

Subcommittee Recommendation

Division of Wildlife Resources	Jesson-Ericson Easements	\$ 270,000	\$ 200,000
Utah Open Lands	Weber River to Henefer Preservation	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
Tree Utah	Audubon/Tree Utah Migratory Bird Habitat Restoration Phase 4	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000
Trust of Public Land	OW Ranch Conservation Easement, Phase 2	\$ 753,750	\$ 650,000
Department of Agriculture and Food	Woodlee Dairy/Gittins Farm Easement	\$ 625,000	\$ 500,000
American West Heritage Center 2	Wellsville Mountains Vista Preservation	\$ 112,500	\$ 100,000

The Nature Conservancy	Jaques Farm	\$ 875,000	\$ 650,000
Santa Clara City	Santa Clara Arboretum Restoration Project 2	\$ 37,600	\$ 30,000
		Total Requested	Total Allocated
		\$ 2,943,850	\$ 2,400,000

The American West Heritage Center, Cache County: They are requesting \$112,500 to place a conservation easement on part of the property in favor of Cache County. Public access is provided to some of this property. The Center has purchased 100 acres of agricultural and river front land adjoining the facility. The land was under heavy residential development pressure with a back up offer on the table so they had to purchase the property by May 31, 2007. With this project, the county proposes to purchase a conservation easement on 55 acres of the property to assist the center in retiring its bank loan. The title to the land will be held by the center and the land will be used by the center or leased to a farmer, it will remain in agricultural production either way. The purchased easement will provide crucial open space, creating almost 300 acres of preserved land at the center, with the public having access to more than half of this land. The easement also protects an irreplaceable vista of the Wellsville Mountains that thousands of visitors enjoy each year when attending our many educational programs, events, and workshops. **The subcommittee recommended funding \$100,000 for this project.**

City of Salem, Salem Parkway Project, Utah County: As part of the project Master Plan, the City and the Development Company are planning to commence the initial phase of the Salem Parkway. In concert with the land dedication, the Developer intends to complete a lineal parkway trail system linking upland habitat parks together that are laced within migratory bird habitat areas. The habitat areas will also have some enhancements located in the area. The developer is trying to preserve open space in an area that is developing now, rather than replacing open space in an area that has already been developed. In the end, the objective is that this project with an estimated value of \$4,000,000 (in land and improvements) will be the beginning of what Salem City hopes will be a interconnecting trail system through this area of the City, preserving open space and creating yet another wonderful park and trail system for the area residents to enjoy. The Commission is being asked to fund restoration of some wetland areas that will be part of this parkway. In the pre-application the city asked for help acquiring the property and preserving some of these ponds, and wet areas. But, the Quality Growth Act limits the use of funds for fee title acquisition, especially in counties where more than 50 percent of the land is publicly owned. Utah County falls into that category. So, they changed the request to ask for help restoring the ponds and wetlands after they had been given to the city. The subcommittee was concerned that much of this work would be done anyway, and that there were other more critical uses for McAllister funds. The subcommittee recommended that the Commission not fund this project.

City of West Jordan Marks Property: The City plans to acquire a 15 acre Conservation Easement on one of the three remaining working farms on the Jordan River. With the conservation easement the Mark Family will be able to continue their existing farming activities, hay production and row cropping, while assuring the City the property will remain as Agricultural Land and Open Space in the future. The City's overall goal is to maintain the Mark's property as Agricultural Land for as long as the family remains on the property. In the future, in about 15 years when Mr. Marks retires, the property will be offered to the city to purchase which will help to enhance the recreational value of the Jordan River Parkway Trail. The city would like to extend a trail from the Demonstration Gardens at the Jordan Valley Water Conservancy

District which is immediately to the south of this property, down through this property to the river and north to 7800 South and the future TRAX light rail station. Rocky Mountain Power has a large high voltage power corridor that runs through this property. This doesn't disrupt the agricultural use, but would likely limit the development value, at least in the areas immediately adjacent to the power lines. The subcommittee did not recommend funding this project.

Gittins/Woodlee Dairy, Cache County: This easement preserves prime farmland for the Gittins Family Dairy Farm. Some land bordering this property has recently been sold for estate homes, and family would like to prevent that from happening on their property. They operate a successful dairy and use this property to grow feed for the dairy cows. It is classified as prime agricultural land by the Natural Resources Conservation Service. Also, the property is in the approach zone for the Logan Airport. The Airport Authority sent a letter of support urging the commission to fund this easement to help protect the approaches to the airport. This is phase two of the project and will complete the easement on the nearly 100 acre property. Two years ago, the Commission funded the first phase of this project. This project has received almost \$700,000 in federal Farm and Ranch Land Protection funds for this easement. McAllister Fund money would serve as match for those federal dollars. **The Commission recommends funding \$500,000 for this project.** The Department of Agriculture requested \$625,000. The reason for the cut is that the Farm and Ranchland Protection Program allows the landowner to contribute up to 25% of the value of the property. The family is already making a small contribution, but the subcommittee wanted to see a larger landowner donation or more private funding in this project.

Commissioner Larry Ellertson asked if the airport could participate in helping to fund this project. Mr. Bennett replied that if the airport really wished to protect its approach zones, they could come up with some funds to help put this easement in place. He explained that this recommendation was made during the first phase, and that some contacts with the airport were made at that time. Mr. Bennett agreed to work with the landowner to approach the airport again about participating in the funding of this project.

Division of Forestry, Fire and State Lands Rainbow Glass Ranch Easement: Located in the Range Creek focus area of the Utah Forest Legacy Program, the property is a large tract of generally pristine mountain land of overall scenic beauty. It contains numerous springs, timber resources and a variety of wildlife. The proposed easement would protect the Grassy Trail watershed and reservoir, which supplies water to the Sunnyside and East Carbon communities. Forest Legacy closed Phase 1 of the project in 2004 with a landowner donation of 800 acres. Phase 2 (2,280 acres) closed in 2006. The remaining 2,393 acres, for which this funding request is made, will be placed under easement in 2007. An easement on the remaining 2,393 acres of the ranch would prevent potential division into 100 building lots. There is significant development pressure in the area for recreational properties and cabin sites. An adjacent 4,500 acre property has been subdivided into 40-acre "ranchettes", and is zoned to permit "mountain developments and planned home developments". A recent development in Argyle Canyon, just five miles from the Rainbow Glass Ranch, includes 100 cabin sites. The Ranch is also 30 minutes from another cabin development at Joe's Valley. This is a working cattle ranch. The owner also has a Cooperative Wildlife Management Unit on the property and he takes hunters on guided hunts on this property. The Commission has received requests from the Division to participate in both previous phases, but we have not chosen to do so. In the past, the Commission has felt that there was no development pressure on the property. But now, the division has demonstrated that development is beginning to encroach on the property, especially on area covered by this phase. In the future, these owners or others could sell this property for development. Depending on the intensity of the development, it might degrade the watershed in the area. The current owners have managed the watershed in a way that has improved it, and the division would like to see similar management practices continue on the property. The Subcommittee did not recommend funding this property primarily because they chose to fund the Jesson-Erikson property also sponsored by DNR because of the threatened species on that property, but this one scored high, and the commission may wish to consider funding it.

Mayor Darrell Smith asked if this project had been through our process before. Mr. Bennett explained that it had been through the process twice. They asked us to fund it in the first phase, we declined, and it was funded entirely with landowner donations. They asked us to match federal dollars in phase 2, but that request was also denied, and the landowners provided the match for those federal dollars. Now, there is no more federal funding, so we are being asked to provide funds for the third phase.

Division of Wildlife Resources Jesson-Erikson Easements: Two landowners in Sanpete County are interested in selling conservation easements on their riparian habitat along the Sanpitch River and donating conservation easements on their upland property as a match. The property that would be protected with this grant contains vital habitat for the Columbia spotted frog, designated as a Tier I species on the Utah Sensitive Species List and is the subject of a multi-agency conservation plan. The state is trying to keep the Columbia Spotted Frog off the endangered species act, and one way that this can happen is by protecting frog habitat. That is the purpose of this easement. The area is also habitat for the leathersided chub, which is a Tier II species on the list. The conservation easements will be south of the Lauritzen property on which the DWR currently holds a conservation easement. The amount of funds requested are based on the \$3000 per acre that was paid for the Lauretzen easement in 2005, so there is a decent chance that an appraisal will reflect a higher per acre value in the full application. We will be purchasing conservation easements on 90 acres and the landowners are donating conservation easements on the remaining 264 acres of their land as a match for the cash from the McAllister Fund. The request is for \$270,000, and **the subcommittee recommended funding this project at \$200,000.**

Mayor Darrell Smith asked if the land was cultivated farm land or grazing land, and if those uses would continue. Mr. Bennett explained that some was cultivated and some grazed. Both uses could continue, though the management plan would be written to protect the riparian areas, and cattle might be excluded from those areas.

Commissioner Sally Elliott asked what would happen if we cut this project back even farther. Mike Canning of the Division of Wildlife Resources indicated that they can make up the \$70,000 cut, but may not be able to make up much more.

Commissioner Leonard Blackham wondered about putting an easement on the entire property when the critical habitat is only in the riparian area. Mr. Bennett explained currently, the match is proposed to come from the donation on the upland areas. Commission Blackham suggested that maybe we could pay for half of the easement on the riparian area, and the landowners could donate the other half. Then we would not need to put an easement on the other 264 acres which do not contain critical habitat for the threatened species.

Santa Clara City, Santa Clara Arboretum Restoration Project: The Santa Clara Arboretum Restoration project is a continuation of the project initially funded in 2004. Phase II encompasses the lower section of the Arboretum where the Tuachan Wash opens up into a broad, flat low land. Phase I funding (2004) paid for new vegetation in the cactus garden, some tamarisk eradication, a detailed plan for the Arboretum, wildflower seeds, tools, and valuable soil for planting. Phase II funding will continue the restoration and principally support the following efforts:

- (1) eradicating invasive weeds and restoring the natural contours to the land after past tamarisk removal
- (2) restoring stream banks along the natural flows in the wash
- (3) planting native vegetation in the wash bottom and along stream banks
- (4) establishing a water system for supportive irrigation at the onset of planting and during times of severe drought
- (5) establishing a small pond for wildlife

(6) eradicating additional tamarisk

Santa Clara is requesting \$37,600 for this project. **The Subcommittee recommends spending \$30,000.**

Sportsmen for Habitat Dry Canyon Preservation: This 143 Acres is critical winter habitat for Deer, Elk and Wild Turkey. It also provides public access to the Mt. Naomi Wilderness Area. It is also part of the Smithfield City Watershed and a city water storage tank exists on the property and the city owns a small inholding around the tank. The Purpose of the Easement is to preserve critical wildlife habitat, protect important watershed, and provide public access to public land. Sportsmen for Habitat owns the property now. They are asking the Commission to help fund an easement so that they can use the proceeds to purchase other critical habitat property. There is also some dispute about whether an easement exists on the road. The county asserts that the road belongs to them, and they would like to keep the road open all the way to the boundary of the wilderness area. Sportsmen for habitat would prefer to close the road farther down and allow access by foot or horseback across the property. The subcommittee did not recommend funding this project.

The Nature Conservancy of Utah, Jaques Farm Acquisition: This is the final parcel necessary to complete land acquisition for the Conservancy's Great Salt Lake Preserve. This parcel is critical upland habitat for the preserve. It will continue to be used for Grazing and other agricultural uses consistent with Preserve management. TNC grazes cattle on much of the preserve to assist with the conservation purposes. This application is for the purchase of a conservation easement on 116-acres adjacent to the Great Salt Lake Shorelands Preserve. TNC requested \$875,000, **the subcommittee recommended \$650,000 for this one.**

Commissioner Justin Allen asked about this being the last parcel in the preserve. Mr. Bennett replied that TNC indicated this is the last parcel they are interested in acquiring or preserving as part of the shorelands preserve. This property sits along the road to the visitors center. If it were developed, you would have development coming down into the middle of the preserve, and that is what they are trying to prevent. Commissioners asked about development pressure. Mr. Bennett explained that the main paved road to the north and east of the preserve has development right next to it. The infrastructure is in the road and additional development is possible south and west of the main paved road. This parcel is south and west of the road, and could be developed.

The Nature Conservancy Noel Property Easement: The property has historically, and is presently, used as pasture land for cattle. In addition, it provides habitat for wildlife such as deer and elk and various raptor species. The Nature Conservancy is working with the Noel family to negotiate a purchase and/or easement on this 40-acre in-holding within Grand Staircase National Monument. Several rare, though not threatened, plant species exist on the property. It is completely surrounded by the monument, but accessible by a road. Mr. Noel must cross about ¼ of a mile of monument land to access this property. The Conservancy believes that preservation of this parcel is critical to the preservation of the natural values inherent in the property and that area of the Monument. The Kane County Commission supports this project because they feel it offers an opportunity to protect agricultural uses on the monument. The Noel family holds grazing permits on about 4000 acres in the monument, and this land is part of the private base that supports those permits.

Mr. Bennett indicated that the subcommittee members who visited this property felt that this parcel was not particularly threatened. They would have preferred a parcel on his main ranch outside the monument which is facing significant development pressure. The immediately adjacent ranch is currently being developed into ranchettes and his main farm could be developed in the same way. The subject parcel is not immediately threatened, and not the most critical piece needed to preserve the permits in the monument. The subcommittee did not recommend funding this property.

The Nature Conservancy Virgin River Headwaters Project: The VRHP is located in the scenic high plateau country just north of Zion National Park. Eighteen landowners have come together to form the Kanarra Mountain Landowners Association to work on protection up to 11,000 acres of critical wildlife habitat and watershed. These properties provide high quality forest resources, unique wildlife habitat and critical watershed to LaVerkin Creek and the Virgin River. The Commission contributed \$300,000 to phase 1 of this project. Phase 2 will preserve 3 parcels. Phase 1 of this project was an important part of the Washington County Lands Bill, and as another version of that bill goes forward, the Commission may want to participate in this project. The request was for \$650,000 for this property. The subcommittee did not recommend funding this project.

TreeUtah Jordan River Restoration Project: The project objective is to restore high quality migratory bird habitat along the Jordan River. This includes reactivating and enhancing old meanders, enhancing the Willow Creek Channel, the removal of exotic species and planting native species. This project is supported by thousands of volunteers, and has worked successfully in this area for more than five years. This is the fourth time the commission has considered funding for this project. The land is owned by the Mitigation and Reclamation Commission and is preserved in perpetuity. **The request is for \$20,000 and the subcommittee recommends \$20,000.**

Trust for Public Land OW Ranch Easement, Phase 2: The project seeks funding to complete the second of a two-phase conservation easement purchase on OW Ranch. Phase 1, totaling 140 acres was completed in January of 2007. This project would place an additional 60 acres under easement. The easements are held by Utah Department of Agriculture and Food for long-term management. TPL believes preservation of OW Ranch is critical because of the land's high quality soils and its special location along the Weber River. The ranch's rich riparian areas (approximately 32 acres) include woodland consisting of cottonwoods, river birch and black willow, with an understory of native grasses and shrubs. These areas serve as a well-populated winter roosting area for the American bald eagle and provide habitat for deer, ducks, cranes, and other resident and migratory birds and mammals. The Utah Division of Wildlife Resources has designated the Weber River along this stretch as a "Blue Ribbon Fishery." The proposed conservation easement would restrict future development, preserving the riparian habitat and maintaining the ranch. They requested funds last year which was not provided. This request is to complete the easement on this property. The request was for \$750,750, **the subcommittee recommended funding at \$650,000.**

Utah Open Lands, Weber River Preservation: This property has historically been grazed or used to grow alfalfa. These uses continue on the property today. The Weber River runs through many properties from Echo Reservoir to the Town of Henefer. Utah Open Lands has met with several landowners along this section all contiguous to each other and they are interested in preserving the agricultural values of the land as well as allowing for needed stream restoration. Beyond the incredible wildlife and aquatic habitat, all landowners have agreed to public access to the stream provided it is monitored in conjunction with the conservation easement. The subcommittee felt that this project was very important for its agricultural, wildlife and riparian preservation values and also because it would provide public angler access to the river. This parcel received the highest ranking and the highest score. The request was for \$250,000, **the subcommittee recommended funding at \$250,000.**

After the summary of the last project was completed, the Commission began discussing the recommendation. John Bennett reminded the Commission that the Quality Growth Act requires them to set aside up to 20 percent of the annual increase in the fund for requests from the Department of Natural Resources for protection of Wildlife and Watershed. He then explained that the base budget for the fund is \$482,600. Last year the fund received a total of \$1,482,600. This year the fund received a total of \$2,482,600. So the question is do you look only at the base budget or do you look at last years total appropriation when deciding the final set aside. The subcommittee settled on the difference between this

year and last year. That is \$1 million, 20 percent of which is \$200,000. This much should go to a project from the Department of Natural Resources.

Sally Elliott indicated that in response to this, the subcommittee asked Director Mike Styler which of his projects was the priority. He responded that the Jesson-Erikson easement probably was because of the threatened species. She pointed out that the Rainbow Glass Ranch scored much higher in rating and ranking than Jesson Erickson and she wanted to provide funding for that one if possible. Mike Kohler asked why the Department chose Jesson-Erikson. John Bennett explained that it was the threatened species which are a high priority for the Department that carried the day. Mike Kohler asked if partial funding would help the Jesson Erikson parcel. Mr. Bennett explained that it depended on how far it was cut. Mike Canning of DWR indicated that if they received \$150,000 they could probably do it because they have some other federal funds that can be applied to this easement.

Mike Styler moved that the Commission accept the subcommittees recommendation with the following changes:

- Fund Rainbow Glass at \$350,000
- Reduce Jesson-Erikson to \$150,000
- Reduce OW to \$350,000
- Switch funding from Jaques Farm to Virgin River Headwaters.

He explained that this motion gives money to two higher ranked projects. I conserves almost 4000 acres versus several hundred acres, it gives money to projects where public access is greater, and, there is some action behind the scenes on the Washington County Lands Bill, and it would show good faith on that bill. Lastly, it spreads the money out with a great geographic spread. Without the motion, only 10 percent of the money is spent south of Salt Lake County, with the motion that percentage increases dramatically.

Ann Price of Forestry, Fire and State Lands indicated she could finish Rainbow Glass with \$350,000. Nicol Gagstetter of the Nature Conservancy said they were comfortable with the change, and Shauna Kerr of TPL said she would not object but it will be very difficult to get the funds. This may mean that we will need to do a phase 3.

Mike Styler moved, and Larry Ellertson seconded, the motion carried unanimously.

Final Funding Allocation with Commission Changes

2007---Amounts Requested/Amounts Funded/ratings and rankings					
Applicant Name	Project Title	Amount Requested	Amount Allocated	Rating Average	Ranking Aveage
American West Heritage Center 2, Cache County Near Wellsville	Wellsville Mountains Vista Preservation	\$112,500	\$100,000	85	6.94
City of Salem, Utah County	Salem Parkway Trail	\$500,000		59	11.39

City of West Jordan, Salt Lake County	Marks Property Easement	\$150,000		66	8.44
Department of Agriculture and Food, Cache County Near Smithfield	Woodlee Dairy/Gittins Farm Easement	\$625,000	\$500,000	68	6.89
Division of Forestry, Fire and State Lands, Carbon County near Sunnyside	Rainbow Glass Ranch, Phase 3	\$460,000	\$350,000	90	6.44
Division of Wildlife Resources, Sanpete County Near Mt. Pleasant	Jesson-Ericson Easements	\$270,000	\$150,000	52	9.06
Santa Clara City, Washington County	Santa Clara Arboretum Restoration Project 2	\$37,600	\$30,000	50	9.78
Sportsmen for Habitat, Cache County near Smithfield	Dry Canyon--Smithfield	\$200,000		74	8.67
The Nature Conservancy, Davis County Near Layton	Jaques Farm	\$875,000		73	6.17
The Nature Conservancy, Kane County Near Kanab	Noel-GSNM Inholding Presrvatioin	\$280,000		49	10.56
The Nature Conservancy, Washington and Iron Counties, near Kannaraville	Virgin River Headwaters, Phase 2	\$650,000	\$650,000	89	6.78

Tree Utah, South Jordan, Salt Lake County	Audubon/Tree Utah Migratory Bird Habitat Restoration Phase 4	\$20,000	\$20,000	90	5.89
Trust of Public Land, Summit County Near Coalville	OW Ranch Conservation Easement, Phase 2	\$753,750	\$350,000	85	5.56
Utah Open Lands, Summit County near Henefer	Weber River to Henefer Preservation	\$250,000	\$250,000	93	2.33

Total Requested \$5,183,850
Total Allocated \$2,400,000

5—Budget discussion, and Legislative Contacts Report.

This item was not considered.

6--Administrative Matters

The next meeting will be held at the Utah Association of Realtors Building in Sandy. It will be hosted by Justin Allen and Jaren Davis.